

8 May 2024

Advancing research at the intersection of wind energy and the geophysical sciences

Ken Caldeira

kcaldeira@carnegiescience.edu Carnegie Institution for Science, Dept. of Global Ecology Gates Ventures

Applied Energy 281 (2021) 116048



Check for updates

Enrico G. A. Antonini © ^{1,2,3} ⊠, Edgar Virgüez © ¹, Sara Ashfaq^{1,4}, Lei Duan © ^{1,5}, Tyler H. Ruggles © ¹ & Ken Caldeira © ^{1,6}



Geophysical limits to global wind power

Kate Marvel¹*, Ben Kravitz² and Ken Caldeira²

We distributed representations of wind turbines, at different densities,

Across the entire surface of the EarthThroughout the volume of the atmosphere

How much power can be produced? What are the effects on climate?

I would like to hire a postdoc to continue this work, looking at smaller spatial scales.



Geophysical limits to global wind power

Kate Marvel¹*, Ben Kravitz² and Ken Caldeira²



nature climate change

Geophysical limits to global wind power

Kate Marvel^{1*}, Ben Kravitz² and Ken Caldeira²

Zonal mean temperature changes are < 0.1 K/TW Zonal mean precipitation changes are < 0.1%/TW





Geophysical limits to global wind power

Kate Marvel¹*, Ben Kravitz² and Ken Caldeira²



Wind turbines near surface affect where kinetic energy gets dissipated.

nature

climate change

High-altitude wind turbines affect how much kinetic energy gets produced and dissipated.

JOURNAL OF CLIMATE

15 MARCH 2017

⁸Spatial Distribution of Generation of Lorenz's Available Potential Energy in a Global Climate Model[®]

EVA AHBE AND KEN CALDEIRA



FIG. 3. As in Fig. 2, but for distribution of annual mean dry APE generation in units of (left) 10^{-6} W m⁻² Pa⁻¹ and (right) W m⁻². APE generation is greatest near the tropics in the ITCZ where air with relatively warm potential temperatures is heated largely by condensing water vapor and near the poles where air with relatively low potential temperatures is radiatively cooling, resulting in longwave radiation to space.

Geophysical potential for wind energy over the open oceans

Anna Possner^{a,1} and Ken Caldeira^a

PNAS October 24, 2017



Fig. 3. Annual mean near-surface kinetic energy (KE) dissipation caused by drag (A) in the preindustrial climate and (B) for the largest simulated wind farm in the Atlantic with an area of 1.9 Mkm². (C) Kinetic energy extraction (KEE) within the largest wind farm in the North Atlantic. KE extracted by wind turbines is partially compensated for by a reduction in KE dissipation into the boundary layer caused by surface drag. Surplus energy extracted locally is compensated for by a regional decrease of KE dissipation into the boundary layer outside the wind farm.



n

7.2 About ~10 W/m² for the best parts 6.4 of the North Atlantic compared with 2 or 3 W/m^2 for the best parts 5.6 of North America. 4.8



Fig. 2. (A) Map of wind farm locations. (B and C) Regional medians (•) and minimum-maximum ranges (lines) of annual mean kinetic energy extraction (KEE) in (B) watts meter⁻² and (C) terawatts as function of wind farm area. Linear regression is fitted through the median KEE points against the common logarithm of the wind farm areas in the North Atlantic (salmon) and North America (light blue). Slopes and P values of fit are given. Precise KEE values and areas are in Table S1.



Applied Energy 281 (2021) 116048



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apenergy

Atmospheric pressure gradients and Coriolis forces provide geophysical limits to power density of large wind farms

Enrico G.A. Antonini^{*}, Ken Caldeira



A better perspective:

1. Near surface winds represent a balance between pressure gradient forces and (apparent) Coriolis forces.

2. When winds are slowed by wind turbines, Coriolis forces diminishes, result in an acceleration of air parcels by large-scale pressure gradient forces.

3. Thus, kinetic energy removed from the atmosphere is replaced by large-scale potential energy gradients in the atmosphere.



Wind farm

 $\overline{m^2}$



An analytic framework based on well-established theory produces results that are largely in agreement with results from fluid-dynamical model simulations.

Geostrophic wind speed [m/s]

е

Geostrophic wind speed [m/s]

Applied Energy 281 (2021) 116048



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apenergy

Atmospheric pressure gradients and Coriolis forces provide geophysical limits to power density of large wind farms

Enrico G.A. Antonini^{*}, Ken Caldeira



Maximum wind power extraction (at large scale!) can be estimated from the Coriolis parameter and the strength of the geostrophic winds.

Spatial constraints in large-scale expansion of wind power plants

Enrico G. A. Antonini^{a,1} and Ken Caldeira^a

PNAS 2021 Vol. 118 No. 27 e2103875118



the horizontal pressure gradient (d Φ / d x) divided by the Coriolis parameter (f).

Spatial constraints in large-scale expansion of wind power plants

Enrico G. A. Antonini^{a,1} and Ken Caldeira^a

PNAS 2021 Vol. 118 No. 27 e2103875118



Spatial constraints in large-scale expansion of wind power plants

Enrico G. A. Antonini^{a,1} and Ken Caldeira^a

PNAS 2021 Vol. 118 No. 27 e2103875118

Wind speeds at hub height drop to ~0.6 by the turbulent length scale, which means wind power drops by 80%.



6

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01260-7

Identification of reliable locations for wind power generation through a global analysis of wind droughts

Check for updates

Enrico G. A. Antonini ^{1,2,3} , Edgar Virgüez ¹, Sara Ashfaq^{1,4}, Lei Duan ^{1,5}, Tyler H. Ruggles ¹ Ken Caldeira ^{1,6}

Communications Earth & Environment | (2024)5:103

Goal: Identify locations with -

High mean wind-power density
 Low seasonal variability
 Low weather variability

What can we usefully say about wind resources from a purely geophysical perspective, without taking cost, current demand, etc, into consideration?

How do we reasonably combine disparate metrics (power density, variability) into a single metric?

9

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01260-7

Identification of reliable locations for wind power generation through a global analysis of wind droughts

Check for updates
Enrico G. A. Antonini ©^{1,2,3} , Edgar Virgüez ©¹, Sara Ashfaq¹⁴, Lei Duan ©^{1,5}, Tyler H. Ruggles ©¹ &
Ken Caldeira ©^{1,6}
Communications Earth & Environment | (2024)5:103

Power density



communications earth & environment

Identification of reliable locations for wind power generation through a global analysis of wind droughts

Article

9

Oncek for updates
 Enrico G. A. Antonini ©^{12,3} ⊠, Edgar Virgüez ©¹, Sara Ashfaq¹⁴, Lei Duan ©¹⁵, Tyler H. Ruggles ©¹ &
 Ken Caldeira ©^{1,8}
 Communications Earth & Environment | (2024)5:103

Energy deficit variability metrics

- Seasonal variability Difference between hourly climatological average wind power and constant mean average
- Weather variability
 Difference between hourly
 reanalysis wind power and hourly
 climatological averages



9

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01260-7

Identification of reliable locations for wind power generation through a global analysis of wind droughts

 Enrico G. A. Antonini ©^{1,2,3} ⊠, Edgar Virgüez ©¹, Sara Ashfaq¹⁴, Lei Duan ©^{1,5}, Tyler H. Ruggles ©¹ & Ken Caldeira ©^{1,6}
 Communications Earth & Environment | (2024)5:103

Seasonal variability



9

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01260-7

Identification of reliable locations for wind power generation through a global analysis of wind droughts

 Enrico G. A. Antonini ©^{1,2,3} ⊠, Edgar Virgüez ©¹, Sara Ashfaq¹⁴, Lei Duan ©^{1,5}, Tyler H. Ruggles ©¹ & Ken Caldeira ©^{1,6}
 Communications Earth & Environment | (2024)5:103

Weather variability



https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01260-7

9

Identification of reliable locations for wind power generation through a global analysis of wind droughts





Areas with abundant and reliable wind power

Minimum percentile rank across power density, seasonal variability, and weather variability



https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01260-7

Identification of reliable locations for wind power generation through a global analysis of wind droughts

 Enrico G. A. Antonini ©^{1,2,3} , Edgar Virgüez ©¹, Sara Ashfaq¹⁴, Lei Duan ©^{1,5}, Tyler H. Ruggles ©¹ & Ken Caldeira ©^{1,6}
 Communications Earth & Environment | (2024)5:103







9

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01260-7

Identification of reliable locations for wind power generation through a global analysis of wind droughts

Enrico G. A. Antonini ©^{1,2,3} , Edgar Virgüez ©¹, Sara Ashfaq¹⁴, Lei Duan ©^{1,5}, Tyler H. Ruggles ©¹ & Ken Caldeira ©^{1,6} Communications Earth & Environment | (2024)5:103

Historical temporal trends in power density



9

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01260-7

Identification of reliable locations for wind power generation through a global analysis of wind droughts

Check for updates
Enrico G. A. Antonini ©¹²³ ⊠, Edgar Virgüez ©¹, Sara Ashfaq¹⁴, Lei Duan ©¹⁵, Tyler H. Ruggles ©¹ &
Ken Caldeira ©¹⁸
Communications Earth & Environment | (2024)5:103

Historical temporal trends in weather variability



9

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01260-7

Identification of reliable locations for wind power generation through a global analysis of wind droughts

B Check for updates
 Enrico G. A. Antonini ©^{1,2,3} ⊠, Edgar Virgüez ©¹, Sara Ashfaq¹⁴, Lei Duan ©^{1,5}, Tyler H. Ruggles ©¹ &
 Ken Caldeira ©^{1,6}
 Communications Earth & Environment | (2024)5:103

Historical temporal trends in wind drought severity



9

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01260-7

Identification of reliable locations for wind power generation through a global analysis of wind droughts

Check for updates

Enrico G. A. Antonini ©^{1,2,3} , Edgar Virgüez ©¹, Sara Ashfaq¹⁴, Lei Duan ©^{1,5}, Tyler H. Ruggles ©¹ & Ken Caldeira ©^{1,6} Communications Earth & Environment | (2024)5:103

Historical temporal trends in wind drought area

Conclusions

There are a lot of places with strong, reliable winds, but not everywhere

At regional scale in good places, 2 W/m² = 2 MW/km² is a reasonable expectation

- This value is limited by the ability of large-scale pressure forces to replenish energy removed by wind turbines
 - The length scale for replenishment is proportional to wind speeds and inversely proportional to the Coriolis parameter (and so shorter at high latitudes), but is typically some 10s of km

Applied Energy 281 (2021) 116048

Ken Caldeira © 1,6

Communications Earth & Environment (2024)5:103

https://www.nature.com/articles/s43247-024-01260-7